

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/653,281 | 08/31/2000 | Kevin L. Beaman | M4065.0278/P27899-0818 | M4065.0278/P27899-0818 4745 | |
| 7: | 590 06/23/2005 | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| Thomas J D'A | | BOOTH, RICHARD A | | | |
| Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 2101 L Street NW | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20037-1526 | | | 2812 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 09/653,281 | BEAMAN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Richard A. Booth | 2812 | |

| | Richard A. Booth | 2012 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | • | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In n event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) |). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stated above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a property of the company of | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of | of the appeal. | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | . | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | because | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | eiected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ., | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | - | (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | · —— | e, timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 6-14, 16, 18, 21-29, 31, and 36-4</u> . | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | - | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or atta | ched. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ance because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | | | | | | | |
| | | Richard A/Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claim 1, for example, raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.

FICHARD BOOT